Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

Applicant(s):

SHPAK

Docket no. 91366

Application No. Filing Date: Customer No. Group Art Unit: Examiner: **TBA** 24628 3679 10/764,963 January 26, 2004

Invention: Wireless LAN Control Over a Wired Network

I hereby certify that this Information Disclosure Statement is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

February 23, 2007

(Date)

Gerald T. Shekleton Reg. No. 27,466 (Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)

(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, PTO Form 1449 citing 10 U.S. Patents (not enclosed) a copy of the PTO Form 892 cited in an Office Action in USSN 10/315,417; a check in the amount of \$180.00; and a return receipt postcard

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, including, if necessary, the filing fee if the above-referenced check is in the wrong amount, unsigned, postdated, or otherwise improper or informal or missing, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-0920.

> American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Art Unit: 2618
Eran SHPAK)	Examiner: Nguyen
Serial No.: 10/764,963)	Washington, D.C.
Filed: January 26, 2004)	
For: WIRELESS LAN CONTROL OVER A WIRED NETWORK)	Docket No.: 91366

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

[X] 1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, as it is filed:

(Check one of the boxes A-D)

- [] A. within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application.
 - [] B. before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits.
- [X] C. after (A) and (B) above, but before final rejection or allowance, and Applicants have made the necessary certification (box "i" below) or paid the necessary fee (box "ii" below).

(check one of the boxes "i" and "ii" below:)

[] i. Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either (a) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than tlu'ee months prior to the filing of this IDS; or (b) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.

03/01/2007 EAYALEW1 00000041 10764963

01 FC:1806

180.00 OP

[] ii. A check for the fee set forth in 1.17(p), pr (check no.).	esently believed to be \$240, is enclosed
[X] D. after (A), (B) and (C) above, but before 37 C.F.R. L97(d) for the consideration of this IDS. A chec presently believed to be \$180 is enclosed. Counsel certification listed herein was either (i) cited in a commun foreign application not more than three months prior to the communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpundersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was not known than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.	ies that, upon information and belief, each item of ication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart e filing of the IDS; or (ii) was not cited in a art foreign application and, to the knowledge of the
[X] 2. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98, this I patents, publications, or other information submitted for c this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each docur	onsideration by the office, either incorporated into
(check boxes A and/or B and fill in blanks, if appropriate A. Document(s) is (are) december 2. and, in accordance with 1.98(c), only a copy of exercised.	eemed substantially cumulative to document(s)
[] B. Certain documents were previously cited by application(s), which are relied upon under 35 D.S.C. 120	by or submitted to the Office in the following prior :
[insert serial numbers and filing dates of prior a	oplications]
Applicant identifies these documents by attaching hereto the files of the prior application(s) or a fresh PTO-I449 considered and made of record in accordance with 1.980 need not be filed in this application.	listing these documents, and request that they be
[] 3. Document(s) is(are) not in 1.98(c), Applicant states:	the English language. In accordance with
	of the pertinent portions thereof), or a copy of each application, or English-language abstract (or claim)
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of doc search report (see reply to Comment 68 in t	ument(s) is found in the attached he preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of doc [Insert concise explanation of relevance]	rument(s) is set forth as follows:
D A concise explanation of the relevance of docupage(s) of the specification.	ument(s) can be found on
[] A concise explanation of document(s)	can be found on the attached sheet.

- 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
 - [X] 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

An Office Action dated <u>January 25, 2007.</u> which issued during the prosecution of Applicant's U.s. Patent Application Number <u>10/315,417</u>.

6. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in \$1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

CROSS REFERENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. &1.78 TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.78, Applicant notes that the above-identified patent application may be related to the following U.S. Patent Applications:

Respectfully submitted, Weish & Katz, Ltd.

Gerald T. Shekleton Reg. No . 27, 466

ANT T. Stellet

Date: February 23, 2007

•			
40		Date: February 20, 2007	Page 1 of 1
	FORM PTQ-1449 (Colb)	ATTY DOCKET NO. 91366	SERIAL NUMBER 10/764,963
	LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANTS' INFORMATION STATEMENT	APPLICANT Eran SHPAK	EXAMINER (N/A)
		FILING DATE	GROUP ART UNIT
1		January 26, 2004	3679

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB	FILING DATE
	AA	6,393,261	05-2002	Lewis			
	AB	6,636,737	10-2003	Hills, et al.			
	AC	6,947,483	09-2005	Engwer			
	AD	6,711,148	03-2004	Hills			
	ΑE	6,732,163	05-2004	Halasz		•	
	AF	6,917,804	07-2005	Takayama, et al.			
	AG	6,968,198	11-2005	Nylund			
	АН	7,028,186	04-2006	Stenman, et al.			
	AI	7,054,627	05-2006	Hillman			
	AJ	6,799,054	09-2004	Shpak			


FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB	TRANS- LATION
AK						

OTHER ART (Including Author, Bills, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

	AL	
	AM	
EXAMINE	ER:	DATE CONSIDERED:
EXAMINE	ER: Init	al if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP

Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
* .	A	US-6,393,261	05-2002	Lewis, Daniel E.	. 455/103
*	В	US-6,636,737	10-2003	Hills et al.	455/450
*	c	US-6,947,483	09-2005	Engwer, Darwin A.	375/240
*	D	US-6,711,148	03-2004	Hills, Alexander H.	370/338
*	E	US-6,732,163	05-2004	Hatasz, David E.	709/220
*	F	US-6,917,804	07-2005	Takayama et al.	455/432.1
*	G	US-6,968,198	11-2005	Nylund, Blake James	455/502
*	н	-US-7,028,186	04-2006	Stenman et al.	713/173
*	1	US-7,054,627	05-2006 ⁻	Hillman, Garth D.	455/422.1
*	ل .	US-6,799,054	09-2004	Shpak, Eran	455/525
\exists	к	US-	·		
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code :	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
\neg	N					
\neg	0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
\neg	Р			·*		
	Q			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
\neg	R					
\exists	S			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
	Т				·	

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

•		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) tes in MM-YYYY format are publication dates, Classifications may be US or foreign.

Palent and Trademark Office O-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20070119